	_		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/060,982	SIMON, MARK W.	
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael A Marcheschi	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.			
1. This communication is responsive to <u>amendment filed 9/22/03</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-19,23 and 27.			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examiner.			
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		complying with the rec	uirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.			
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached			
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date			
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			back) of
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT.			lote the
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P 6. ☑ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 7. ☑ Examiner's Amendr 8. ☑ Examiner's Stateme 9. ☐ Other	(PTO-413), te <u>11</u> . ment/Comment	wance
		Art Unit: 1755	

Application/Control Number: 10/060,982

Art Unit: 1755

#12/c Page 2

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Scott Pierce on 4/26/04.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 1, lines 6-9, cancel "thermally curing... abrasive article" and insert in its place

f-thermally curing the phenolic resin component for the entire period during which the phenolic resin component polymerizes in an atmosphere comprising added humidity, wherein the atmosphere has a relative humidity which exceeds normal relative humidity at a given temperature, wherein said atmosphere contacts the molded components, thereby producing the organically bonded abrasive article.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The claimed method is novel over the cited references because said references fail to teach or suggest the limitations of the instant claims, specifically the limitation of step (c) of instant claim 1. With respect to the product claims 23 and 27, Rowse et al. and the Australian Patent do not contain an organosilicon component, wherein the product has the claimed characteristics, as required by the claims. Kunz et al. and Colleselli use a different process and the instant invention establishes that the claimed process produces an unexpected product. In

Art Unit: 1755

addition, these reference fail to teach or suggest a product that has the claimed characteristics, as required by the claims

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A Marcheschi whose telephone number is (571) 272-1374. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L Bell can be reached on (571) 272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (pdl-free).

Michael A Marcheschi Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755

MM 4/04

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/060,982 SIMON, MARK W. Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 1755 Michael A Marcheschi All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Michael A Marcheschi. (2) Scott Pierce. Date of Interview: 26 April 2004. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: all. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required